



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206-I

COCP No.1156 of 2021 (O & M)

Date of decision :-01.09.2025

Jaspal Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

**Prof. Arvind, Vice Chancellor, Punjabi University Patiala and
others**

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate for
Mr. I.P.S. Doabia, Advocate for the respondents.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for not complying the interim order dated 26.8.2020 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-12789-2020 titled as “Jaspal Singh and others vs. Punjabi University Patiala and others” vide which notice of motion was issued and interim order in terms of order dated 30.5.2019 passed in CWP No.15195-2019 titled as “Sharmila Yadav and others vs. Maharshi Dayanand University and another” were issued, vide which it was directed that “.....*In the meantime, respondent No. 3 is directed not to relieve the petitioners till regular selection is made or if their work and conduct is found satisfactory, and if relieved they will be taken back in service and they will be paid salary for summer*



vacation as well keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-9300-2015 Mrs. Menka and others V/s. State of Haryana and others.”

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that the said directions have been complied with by the respondents. It is submitted that even a telephonic call from Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners has been received by him to the effect that in view of the compliance made by the respondents, the present contempt petition will be withdrawn by him today.

However, none has put in appearance on behalf of petitioners.

Heard.

The order sheets shows that from the last three dates i.e. 24.3.2023, 24.4.2023 and 03.8.2023 there was no representation on behalf of petitioners.

Accordingly, in view of submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the respondents, the present contempt petition is hereby **disposed of** as having been rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

September 01, 2025

Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes / No