



**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4183-2025

Date of Decision: 18.02.2025

KARAN KUMAR AND OTHERS ... PETITIONERS
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Salil Sagar, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Ms.Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 04.02.2025, Annexure P-24, whereby the selection and appointment of petitioners to the post of Art and Craft Teacher, category 22, in response to advertisement 06/2006 has been withdrawn, and they have been relieved from service by second respondent/Department.

2. Learned senior counsel contends that the impugned order, dated 04.02.2025, was passed with respect to six Art and Craft Teachers and one of them, namely, Chandan Parkash had approached this Court by filing CWP-4071-2025, which was allowed vide order dated 14.02.2025 with the following directions:

5. In view thereof, the impugned orders/memos dated 27.12.2024, 04.02.2025 and 06.02.2025, are unsustainable being in violation of the Principles of Natural Justice. Withdrawing the recommendation to appoint the petitioner takes away his right to continue in



CWP-4183-2025

-2-

service, and could not have been passed without issuing him a show cause notice and considering his response thereto dispassionately. The impugned orders/memos are accordingly set aside with liberty to the respondents to pass fresh orders, if need be, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

3. The petitioners in the present case are the other five teachers mentioned in the order, 04.02.2025, and they are similarly placed as Chandan Parkash. They have not been heard before passing of the impugned order. Accordingly, vested rights of theirs have been taken away in violation of the Principles of Natural Justice.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute that in the similar circumstances, this Court has already allowed the petition filed by one of the teachers against whom the impugned order dated 04.02.2025 was passed, reserving liberty to the respondents to pass fresh order in accordance with law.

5. In view thereof, the petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 04.02.2025, as also the consequential orders passed on that basis, are set aside *qua* the petitioners with liberty to the respondents to pass fresh orders, if need be, after affording due opportunity of hearing to them.

18.02.2025
smriti

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No