



CRM-M-29389-2025

265

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29389-2025

Decided on: 02.09.2025

Avinash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Neha Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
04	02.01.2025	City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, Punjab	21-C of NDPS Act

1. The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above had come up before this Court under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS], seeking regular bail.

2. Reply on behalf of the State has been handed over in the Court and the same is taken on record.

3. Petitioner's counsel on instructions submits that petitioner was picked up from a burger shop, however now the possibility of the shop owner not supporting the petitioner's case, cannot be ruled out because of fear of the police. However, parents of the petitioner have some digital evidence to prove their part. Counsel further submits that she has instructions to withdraw the present petition but parents of the petitioner be permitted to file a representation before the Director General of Police or the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police and if they do so, directions be issued to the concerned Officer to decide the same in a time bound manner. She further undertakes to provide all assistance in legitimizing the digital evidence recorded by them.

4. Given above, let the parents of the petitioner represent to the Director General of Police or the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police within 15 days from today, by providing all assistance by handing over all evidence including digital evidence. It is



CRM-M-29389-2025

clarified that if parents of the petitioner files a representation within the above-mentioned time period of 15 days, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Director General of Police or the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police, within two months from that day either himself/herself or delegating the same to the officer holding IPS Cadre. It is further clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists as well as his counsel, without any delay. In the representation, petitioner to mention the e-mail-id of his counsel and himself so that the concerned officer could communicate them outcome.

5. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the above observation. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.09.2025
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.