



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

CRWP-7069-2025  
Date of decision: 03.07.2025

Tanuja Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana &amp; others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been preferred by the petitioners, under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that petitioners are already married and want to live in relationship, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major and are already married and they want to live in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation/complaint dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, Haryana and respondent No.3-SHO, Police Station Sadar Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception,



no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, to look into their representation/complaint dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, Addl. A.G, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of "**Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another**", JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

**(H.S. GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**03.07.2025**

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No