



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

COCP No.1587 of 2025 (O & M)**Date of decision :-12.05.2025****Balwinder Singh****.....Petitioner****Versus****Varinder Singh Brar, IPS, SSP Fazilka and another****.....Respondents****CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Bhagwan Chand.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for not implementing the judgment/order dated 29.1.2025 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-8859-2024 titled as “Balwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab and others”, in which direction was issued to respondent No.5 therein “....to consider and decide the representations/applications dated 25.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) and 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioner and take appropriate steps including grant of any protection to the petitioner in view of the apprehension, if any, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.”

Reply dated 11.5.2025 by way of affidavit of Shri Varinder Singh Brar, PPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka has been filed



in the Court today. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the counsel opposite.

Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that in para 9 of the said reply, it has been undertaken as follows :-

“9. That it is respectfully submitted that after that considering the observations contained in the said Inquiry Report and recommendations of D.S.P. Sub Division, Fazilka, the deponent has written a No.17873/S letter 02.05.2025 to A.D.G. (Security) Punjab instructing S.S.P. Shri Muktsar Sahib dated for to provide a gunman to the petitioner for his security. also undertakes The deponent to ensure the security of the petitioner during his visit to Fazilka while attending the trial proceedings of the above noted case at Judicial Court Complex, Fazilka.”

Keeping in view the undertaking so given by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition be disposed of as not pressed.

Ordered accordingly. However, in case anything survives, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same by filing appropriate application in this regard, if so advised.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

May 12, 2025
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes / No