

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CACP No.9 of 2007 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: March 13<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Shri Parkash Chand

...Appellant

Versus

Shri Kahan Chand Nayyar and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 11.

Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for respondent No.15.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the appellant is not present.

On the last two occasions also, the counsel for the appellant had not appeared.

Counsel for respondents No.1 to 11 submits that the present appeal has been rendered infructuous as the Regular First Appeal out of which the contempt petition had arisen and thereafter the present appeal, was after the amendment in CPC, referred to the District Court for decision. The District Court has proceeded to decide the same and now a Regular Second Appeal i.e. *RSA No.5841 of 2014* titled as *Kuldip Nayyar and others Versus Kundan Lal and others* is pending consideration before this Court.

The non-appearance on the part of the counsel for the appellant is, therefore, understandable.

The present appeal is, therefore, disposed of as infructuous.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE**

**March 13<sup>th</sup>, 2023**

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**

*Puneet*

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether Reportable: No