



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

255

CRM-M-42210-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.03.2025

SUNIL KUMAR

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate &

Mr. Karan Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.7.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, notices issued to respondents no. 4 to 7 have been received back unserved. Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate & Mr. Karan Sharma, Advocate have appeared on behalf of respondent no.7.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing, none had appeared on his behalf. It is apparent that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the petition.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

03.03.2025

Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)**JUDGE**1. *Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No*2. *Whether reportable**Yes/No*