



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

363

CRM-M-15801-2025

Date of decision: May 22nd, 2025

Vikas and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAULPresent: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deep Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.41 dated 15.03.2025 under Sections 316(2), 318(4) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Saha, District Ambala, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 24.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 24.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ambala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between

the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ambala, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 22nd, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No