



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5443-2025
Date of decision: 28.02.2025

Kamaljit SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate for Mr. P.K. Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR
No.40 dated 07.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) under Section 379 of IPC registered at
Police Station Arife Ke District Ferozepur.

On 30.01.2025, the following order was passed:-

*'Instant petition is preferred under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking
anticipatory bail in FIR No.40 dated 07.09.2018 under Section 379 of
the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police
Station Arife Ke, District Ferozepur.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that
there is a delay of 10 days in registration of FIR (supra). There is no
evidence on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged incident
and recovery of trolley in question has already been effected.
Moreover, the maximum sentence provided for the offences, under
which the FIR (supra) is registered, is punishable upto 03 years. No
notice under Section 35 of BNSS [erstwhile Section 41-A of the Code
of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.')] has been served
upon the petitioner. Though the petitioner is involved in one more FIR
registered under IPC, however, he is on bail in the said case.*

Notice of motion for 28.02.2025.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, (2014) 8 SCC
273, Arnab Manoranjan Goswami Vs. State of Maharashtra, (2021)
2 SCC 427, Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI, (2022) 10 SCC 51,
Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and ors.,
2010 SCC OnLine SC 1375 and Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs.
State of Punjab, (1980) 2 SCC 565, the petitioner is directed to***



CRM-M-5443-2025

-2-

appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.).

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurpreet Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 30.01.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

28.02.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No