



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M No.55118 of 2024  
DATE OF DECISION : 6<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2025

Dharmender Pal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

\* \* \* \*

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS in case FIR No.0224 dated 31.07.2024 under Sections 15 & 27A Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 07.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that petitioner's false implication in the present case is discernible from the fact that he has no previous criminal antecedents much less being involved in any case under the NDPS Act; the petitioner came to be nominated as an accused on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Sumit and Deepak from whom a recovery of 54 kilograms of poppy husk was affected. Learned counsel contends that the disclosure statement, on*



*the basis of which the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused in the present case, holds very little evidentiary value and clearly points to his false implication in the present case.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 07.11.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2025  
'raj'

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>