



211.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58954-2024

Date of decision: 18.03.2025

Mohd. Sohrab

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

-----

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.242, dated 30.07.2024, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC (added lateron) and Section 304-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram.

2. On 26.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had directed that no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that a perusal of the FIR in question annexed as Annexure P-1 reveals that the petitioner has not been named therein nor has he been attributed any role in the death of deceased-Nidhi; there is also a delay of eight months in the registration of the FIR in question, which also indicates that the petitioner is innocent.*

*On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether the hospital, where the deceased died, was being run by the petitioner, he has categorically replied in the negative and further submitted that the deceased was not even being treated by the petitioner after she was admitted in Medox Hospital, Bhondsi.”*



3. Thereafter, vide order dated 12.12.2024, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 12.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation, much less for his custodial interrogation.
6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 12.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 18, 2025**

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No