



CR No.1358 of 2025 (O&M)

stands settled and as such there was no purpose left with the learned Executing Court to adjudicate upon the application filed on behalf of the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition (Industries) and the proceedings were thus required to be dropped.

[3]. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that at this stage any determination about the issue of settlement would be premature and the same be thus left open to be adjudicated upon and decided by the learned Executing Court; accordingly the present petition be disposed of.

[4]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

[5]. In the facts and circumstances of the present case wherein the petitioner(s)/landowner(s) has placed reliance upon a letter/communication dated 26.11.2024 written by the M/s SIEL Industries Estate Ltd. to the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition, Chandigarh while submitting that the matter between the said Company and the legal heirs of deceased Sohan Singh stands settled, rather than taking upon itself to adjudicate upon the genuineness of the settlement, the present petition is disposed of and the learned Executing Court is requested to adjudicate upon the application presented by the respondent/Collector, Land Acquisition (Industries) while taking into account the said letter/communication dated 26.11.2024 (Annexure P-5 at page 35) preferably on the date fixed or at best within two months thereafter.

[6]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

August 18, 2025

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No