



130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1167-2025

Date of decision : 04.02.2025

Dilkush Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life, liberty and security of the petitioners who are married since 31.01.2025. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.1 to 3 for restraining respondents No.4 to 6 or any other person from interfering in the married life of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made for directing official respondents to decide the representation dated 02.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) moved by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 02.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.2 for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.

**CRWP-1167-2025****-2-**

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, District Panchkula, Haryana to decide the representation dated 02.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

04.02.2025
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No