



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-3218-2025
Date of decision:-26.05.2025**

Kailash Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

Narinder Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Arshad Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of instant revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar to decide Civil Appeal No.CA-214-2022 in a time bound manner.

2. Having heard counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that no such direction deserves to be passed. Civil suit filed by respondents/plaintiffs was decreed on 07.09.2022, Annexure P1, and execution proceeding was initiated. Possession of the property has been delivered to the DH. Execution has been satisfied and the execution petition has been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 29.04.2025,



-2-

133

Annexure P17. Therefore, there is no urgency in the appeal. Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M. Gopalakrishnan & Ors. Versus Pasumpon Muthuramalingam & Anr., SCC On Line SC 1968* has observed that before any order for expeditious disposal is passed, it would be appropriate for the higher Court to appreciate that any such order in one case without cogent and extremely compelling circumstances might upset the calender and schedule of the subordinate Court. This may result in assigning an unwarranted priority to that particular case over and above other cases pending in that Court.

3. Petition is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

26.05.2025

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No