



**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-649-1993 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: February 24, 2025**

**Tara Singh**

**... Appellant**

**Versus**

**Gurnam Singh**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

**Present:- None.**

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

There is no representation on behalf of any of the parties. The appeal was admitted way back on 30.11.1993. The appeal has been filed through Mr. Ravinder Chopra, Advocate and later on, Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate had appeared for the appellant. Intimation is sent to said Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate, which has been received back with the report that the case pertains to the office of Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate and thereafter, letter was received by the Clerk of Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate. However, nobody has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Even, nobody has appeared on behalf of the respondent, who has been served through his counsel Mr. C.M. Munjal. It is also reported that the sole respondent has expired.

2. A perusal of the file would reveal that the suit filed by the plaintiff seeking declaration, was dismissed by the trial Court on 07.03.1992 and the appeal filed by the said plaintiff was dismissed by the First Appellate Court on 23.01.1993. Thus, the plaintiff has approached this Court by way of present regular second appeal against the concurrent findings of the Courts below.

3. Having noticed all the aforesaid facts and circumstances, when nobody is putting in appearance on behalf of the appellant, despite the intimation to his counsel, it appears that the plaintiff-appellant is no longer interested in pursuing this appeal.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**February 24, 2025**

sarita

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking:  
Whether reportable:

Yes/No  
Yes/No