



229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16257-2025

Date of Decision:-07.04.2025

BUTA SINGH**... PETITIONER****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****... RESPONDENTS****CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Buta Singh Bairagi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit dated 07.04.2025 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Raikot, District Ludhiana (Rural), the same is taken on record, copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

2. By way of the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), the petitioner is praying for anticipatory bail in the following FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:-

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
17	05.03.2025	108 BNS	Sadar Raikot District Ludhiana (Rural)

3. Argument heard.

4. It is, *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner aged 63 years old is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that petitioner has no concern with the allegations levelled in the FIR and he has only been implicated in this case being the father-in-law of the deceased. He contends that the petitioner has neither instigated the deceased for commission of suicide in any manner nor has any concern with the demise of the deceased. He contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation, hence prayed for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel referring to the reply submitted by the State has strongly assailed these arguments by contending that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of alleged instigation as written in the suicide note on the wall of the house stating that “Buta Singh is fully involved and in case anything happened to him, Buta Singh is responsible. Buta Singh wanted to keep the children and he has destroyed his family and he wanted to sell children and Buta Singh is responsible for his death. He contends that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, hence prayed for dismissal of the petition.

6. After considering the arguments and perusing the record, it transpires that the deceased before committing suicide had specifically written a suicide note on the wall of his house categorically stating that petitioner Buta Singh is fully involved and responsible if anything happened to him, as Buta Singh wanted to keep his children and destroyed his family. It is also written therein that the petitioner wanted to sell the children and is responsible for the death of the deceased. Considering this aspect whereby the deceased had specifically named the petitioner to be responsible for his



death leading to his committing suicide, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, as such, no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

7. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

07.04.2025

Gyan

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No