



CRWP-11171-2025(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.150

**CRWP-11171-2025(O&M)
Date of decision : 15.10.2025**

Nandini and another

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondent Nos.4 and 5.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation dated 09.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) before the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.
3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. Mr. Eklavya Darshi Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation (Annexure P-4) be given to the respondents No.2 and 3 and that the instant writ petition be disposed of.



6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of instant order.

7. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided adequate safety and security to their life and liberty, if required. It is, however, clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or the validity of the marriage of the petitioners. The police authority of District Fazilka shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment with regard to threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to them.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.10.2025

Kapil

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No