

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

241

COCP No.1378 of 2014

Date of Decision: October 31, 2014

Sunil and others

...Petitioners

Versus

K.K. Khandelwal and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Meenakshi Verma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Short reply on behalf of the Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation and Water Resources Department, Haryana dated 28.10.2014 has been filed in Court, taken on record, copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioners. As per the said affidavit, the claim of the petitioners has been duly considered and rejected in the light of the memo No.11/3/2014-4IW dated 10.02.2014.

Counsel for the petitioners has pointed out that in the order dated 10.03.2014 (Annexure R-2), CWP No.10641 of 2012 has been mentioned, whereas the direction was issued not in the writ petition but in LPA No.1192 of 2013.

Counsel for the respondent, on instructions from Sh. Vikram Singh, Assistant office of Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation and Water Resources Department, Haryana, states that the order dated 10.03.2014 will be appropriately amended to incorporate LPA No.119 of 2013 instead of CWP No.10641 of 2012 and this substituted order will be passed within a period of two weeks. She further states on undertaking that along with the

substituted order, memo No.11/3/2014-4IW dated 10.02.2014 will also be supplied.

Counsel for the petitioner states that liberty may be granted to the petitioners to challenge the order dated 10.03.2014 (Annexure R-1) or the subsequent order which may be supplied to the petitioners as per the statement given by the counsel for the State.

Liberty granted.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as such.

Rule discharged.

October 31.10.2014  
*Puneet/Harish*

**(AUGUSTINEGEORGE MASIH)**  
**JUDGE**