



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

334

**CR-406-2024  
Decided on:29.07.2025**

Jatinder Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Gurinder Singh

. . . Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

PRESENT: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. R. S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. On 23.01.2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.*

--

*Learned counsel for the petitioner is a tenant against whom eviction order has been passed on 23.11.2023 and '2 months' time was given to him to vacate the shop.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that direction given in order dated 23.11.2023 are expiring today. Learned counsel further submits that the limited prayer in this revision is that he is seeking some time to lookout for another premises for relocating his shop.*

*Notice of motion for 27th February, 2024 to the aforesaid limited prayer.*

*23.01.2024”*

2. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that vide order dated 19.04.2025, the execution has been withdrawn as the possession has been handed over to the decree holder.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the same, present Civil Revision Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**29.07.2025**

*Mehak*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

*Yes/No  
Yes/No*