



222 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16630-2023
Date of Decision: 07.04.2025

Bobby Malhotra alias Sagar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC seeking regular bail in case FIR No.113 dated 05.05.2016 under Sections 302/307/148/149/120-B of IPC, 1860 and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1).
2. At the very outset, learned State counsel has submitted that only one prosecution witness has been remained to be examined on the next date of hearing.
3. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from the last six months this witness has not been examined.
4. Keeping in view the status of the trial and without going into the merits of the present case, the learned trial Court is requested to examine the remaining witness on the date fixed before it.
5. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail an alternative remedy as available to him under the law.

07.04.2025
Parveen kumar

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No