



238

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20958-2025

Date of Decision:09.07.2025

CHARANJEET SINGH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

**Coram :** Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.117 dated 08.07.2021, registered under Sections 22(c)/61/85 of NDPS Act 1985 (Section 29 of NDPS added later on), Police Station Sangat, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the case set up by the prosecution Avtar Singh, co-accused was apprehended by the police, while he was carrying 2400 intoxicating tablets, without any permit or licence. Later on it was discovered that the said tablets contained Tramadol Hydrochloride weighing 592 grams approximately, which is a commercial quantity. He further refers to order Annexure P-3, whereby co-accused Avtar has been admitted to bail by this Court. He next contends that after his arrest on



12.07.2024, no recovery was effected from the petitioner and he is in custody since then. He further submits that the out of total 07 witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined so far and the trial Court may take a considerable time to conclude the trial.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that one more case of similar nature was ordered to be registered against him and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 01 year and Avtar, main accused has been granted the concession of bail by this Court. Even the petitioner is handicapped to the extent of 80% and his left leg is amputated above knee, which is apparent from the medical certificate Annexure P-2. At this stage, this Court is conscious of the fact that the trial is not likely to conclude in near future. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

**09.07.2025**  
*vipin*

**(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No