



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(235)

CR No.2351 of 2025

Date of Decision: 23.05.2025

Harjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Kumar (deceased) through his LRs and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

1. On 22.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that the petitioner would not press the revision petition on merits and that he may be granted sufficient time to vacate the demised premises.*

*On this limited question, let notice be issued to the respondents, for 21.05.2025.*

*Process dasti as well.*

*To be taken up in the urgent list.*

*Till then, the petitioner shall not be disposed.”*

2. Thereafter, on 21.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment.*

*Adjourned to 23.05.2025.*

*Interim order to continue.*

*However, it is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.*

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not wish to pursue the present revision petition and that, therefore, she may be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 23, 2025**

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No