

2025:PHHC:062266



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

246

CWP-31168-2024 (O & M)  
Date of decision:12.05.2025

Manjosh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Prabhdeep Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate and  
Mr. Angrej Singh Sarwara, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 28.10.2024, Annexure P-18, vide which claim of the petitioner has been rejected on the premise that his certificate of temporary disability.
2. Learned counsel relies on the judgments in **Anmol Kumar Mishora (Minor) vs. Union of India and others**, Law Finder Doc Id#1926210 and **Arpit Kumar Bhana vs. Union Bank of India and others**, Law Finder Doc Id#2723588, wherein it has been held that there cannot be any distinction between temporary and permanent disability and prays his case be re-considered in terms thereof.
3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the

respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid judgments, within a period of 3 months, uninfluenced by the order impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

12.05.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No