



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-10406-2025 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 24.09.2025

VIKASH BANSAL & ANR.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PRATAP SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioners.

SURYA PRATAP SINGH, J (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondent No.4.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed the representation dated 22.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) before the respondent No.2. The learned counsel prays for disposing of the present petition by issuing directions to the respondent No.2 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.
3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.



4. Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3, and waives service.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-3) be given to the respondent No.2 and that the instant writ petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided requisite safety and security to protect their life and liberty, if required. It is however clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners or the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. The police authority of District SAS Nagar (Mohali) shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of the threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to them.

24.09.2025

Gaurav Thakur

**(SURYA PRATAP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No