



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(201-2)

CRM-M-32462-2025

Date of Decision: 09.10.2025

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.0137 dated 07.05.2025, under Sections 420, 120-B, 498-A, 406, 506 and 509 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 17, HUDA, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

2. Vide order dated 22.09.2025, the petitioner was directed to join investigation. The said order is reproduced hereinafter:-

“Vide order dated 11.08.2025, passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Yamuna Nagar, on 19.08.2025 to explore the possibility of an amicable resolution to the dispute. However, as per the report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Yamuna Nagar, the matter could not be settled.

Adjourned to 09.10.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner(s) shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer and shall also abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-”



1) *That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*

2) *That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.*

3) *That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer concerned, submits that in compliance of order of this Court dated 22.09.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

4. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 22.09.2025 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

7. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.



8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.
10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.) to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

October 09, 2025
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No