



212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-1946-2025

Date of decision: 04.08.2025

Varinder Singh

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Khiva, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No.2.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

This appeal has been filed seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.82 dated 29.05.2025 under Sections 3(r) and 3 (s) of SC & ST Act registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

On 12.06.2025, the following order was passed:-

'The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.82, dated 29.05.2025, registered under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated as his son was previously arrested in a case under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and now the petitioner is being targeted on account of political reasons. He further submits that no case under the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, is made out against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 04.08.2025.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

**CRA-S-1946-2025****-2-**

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jagjeet Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the appellant has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 12.06.2025 is hereby made absolute. The appellant shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The appeal stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.08.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No