



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CRM-M-22978-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 27.05.2025**

Sansar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.28 dated 14.03.2025 under Sections 109(1), 115, 126, 190, 191(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Bond Kalan, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. On 30.04.2025, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that no specific injury is attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner and one Vikas are alleged to have given danda blows on the mouth of the complainant, whereas in the MLR, only one injury is detected. Further, the provisions of Section 109 of BNS have been invoked without there being any opinion with regard to any of the injury being declared dangerous to life. As such, prima*



*facie, offence under Section 109(1) of BNS is not made out. The petitioner is having clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case.*

*Notice of motion for 27.05.2025.*

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.).*

*If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.*

*Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.”*



3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ajit, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 30.04.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*).

5. The petition stands disposed of.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**27.05.2025**

*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No