



229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22421-2025

Date of Decision:02.05.2025

BITTU SINGH @ BITTU SOFIAN WALA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.ShekhawatPresent : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.249 dated 06.08.2023, registered under Sections 307, 148, 149, 452 IPC 1860 & Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled by the complainant, the petitioner was simply present at the place of occurrence and no overt act has been attributed to him. He further contends that Manpreet Singh @ Nannu and Harpreet Singh @ Happy Baba, who had allegedly open fired have already been granted the concession of bail by the Court of CJM, Tarn Taran. He further contends that the petitioner was



arrested in the present case on 05.01.2025 and is in custody for the last more than 03 months. After investigation, now the challan has been presented against the petitioner and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose. Moreover, the matter has been compromised between the parties.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. It is not in dispute that main accused namely Harpreet Singh @ Happy Baba and Manpreet Singh @ Nannu have already been granted the concession of bail by the Court of CJM, Tarn Taran. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 03 months. Moreover, the matter has been compromised between the parties. Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

02.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No