



CRM-M-57430-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

241

CRM-M-57430-2024

Decided on : 25.04.2025

ASIMVIJ

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 544 dated 30.08.2023, under Section 406, 420 IPC and Section 24 of The Emigration Act, 1983 registered at Police Station Sector Old Industrial Area, District Panipat, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 07.11.2024 (P-2).

2. On 13.01.2025, following order was passed:

“1. Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 07.01.2025, and the same is taken on record.

2. Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2, and files his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record, and admit execution of the compromise dated 07.11.2024 (Annexures P-2).

3. The affected parties are directed to appear on 03.02.2025, before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, who shall record their respective statements with regard to the compromise and submit a detailed



report in that regard along with copies of the statements to this Court on or before the adjourned date, containing the following information as well:-

i.Total number of persons arrayed as accused in the case;

ii.Whether all the accused and complainant/victims are party to compromise;

iii.Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender or any such proceedings have been initiated or pending decision;

iv.Stage of the trial/proceedings; and

v.Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence.

4.To come up on 11.03.2025, awaiting report.”

3. Despite granting of two opportunities, parties did not appear before learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to compromise, this Court left with no other option to dismiss the present petition for non prosecution.

4. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

25.04.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**