



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11116-2025
Date of decision: 03.04.2025

HARPREET SINGH SOOCH @ CHITTIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
54	11.06.2022	458, 323, 427 and 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on)	Phool, District Bathinda

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 19.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 19.03.2025, following order had been passed: -



“ Learned State counsel seeks some time to file the reply as the reply received from the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police is not as per the facts.

Be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

ASI Lachman Singh is present in Court along with record. Heard. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record and admitted by ASI Lachman Singh present in Court, the petitioner is not attributed any grievous injury in the alleged occurrence and as per the allegations the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature that too with a stick.

Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within three days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

List on 03.04.2025.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from S.I. Sukhpal Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 19.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 19.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the



evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

03.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |