

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025 PHHC 134140



**CWP No. 29083-2019(O&M)
Date of Decision:25.09.2025**

S.I. Wazir Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Dr. S.K.Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Addl. A.G., Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 04.10.2019, the following order was passed:-

“ Inter alia contends that in the enquiry report, it has come on record that petitioner did not demand any bribe of Rs.1,000/- despite that punishing authority awarded the punishment of stoppage of three future annual increments with permanent effect vide order dated 30.08.2018. The appeal was filed, but Inspector General of Police without affording the opportunity of hearing and without assigning any reasons, rejected the appeal, vide order dated 07.12.2018. Against the aforementioned order, revision was filed which is still pending. The Senior Superintendent of Police, vide memo dated 21.08.2019 issued three months notice of compulsorily retirement beyond 55 years of age which would expire in November 2019.



In support of aforementioned contention, relies upon the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Mohd. Yunus Khan Vs. State of U.P. and others 2010(12) SCR 448 and Roop Singh Negi Vs. Punjab National Bank and others 2009(2) SCT 82 to contend that charges must have been proved.

Notice of motion for 28.01.2020.

In the meantime, operation of retirement notice dated 21.08.2019 (Annexure P-13) shall remain stayed."

2. Dr. S.K.Redhu, Advocate submits that petitioner has retired on attaining the age of superannuation.
3. Learned State counsel does not dispute afore-stated factual position.
4. As the petitioner has retired on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years and continued to work in view of the aforesaid interim order, the instant petition has rendered infructuous.
5. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
6. It is made clear that petitioner is free to avail remedies as permissible by law, with respect to his any grievance other than compulsory retirement.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

25.09.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No