

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the present case with their able assistance.

4. It is a conceded position that the petitioner got admission with the respondent No.2-College. After getting admission, the petitioner studied there for approximately 5 ½ months whereby he also availed the hostel facilities and thereafter got admission in another College. The act of leaving the respondent No.2-College is voluntarily on the part of the petitioner. Once, the petitioner got admission with the respondent No.2-College and studied there, the deposited fees of Rs.67,000/-, same cannot be refunded back.

5. Nothing has been brought on record to show that there exists any such rule where if a candidate leaves the Institution after joining the same and studying there for 5 ½ months while availing the hostel facilities also, the fees should be refunded, no such order can be passed in the absence of such Rule.

6. In the present case, no such terms and conditions of the admission have been pointed out by the petitioner to claim the refund of the amount of fee of Rs.67,000/- deposited.

7. Keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

8. The present petition stands dismissed.

9. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

02-04-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO