



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2497-2025  
DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2025**

**MANI RAM AND OTHERS ...PETITIONERS**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS  
... RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. B.S.Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita to call for the status report from the official respondents with regard to action taken on complaint 29.10.2024 (Annexure P-16) reminder dated 01.11.2024 (Annexure P-22) duly sent through registered post to the various authorities duly received on 01.11.2024, 02.11.2024 and 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-23 to P-27) by constituting a SIT not below the rank of gazetted officer as the various villagers have supported the contentions of the petitioners by tendering their Affidavits dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-17 to P-21) stating therein that the quarrel has taken place in the street/road and to register the FIR against the private respondents no.7 to 11 who have inflicted injuries upon the petitioners with criminal conspiracy and pre-planning and the petitioners remained admitted in Government Hospital from 16.10.2024 to 21.10.2024 suffering various injuries which are clear

from the medical reports (Annexure P-7 to P-14) and the petitioners remained in Government Hospital Bhiwani and PGI Rohtak as per admission and discharge certificates from 16.10.2024 to 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-12 to P-14) and further the injuries inflicted on the petitioners discloses the commission of cognizable offences as 18 stitches were carried out on the face of the petitioner no.3 as apparent from the photographs of the petitioner No.3 (Annexure P-10) in order to save the injuries inflicted on the eye and the police has not taken any action so far against the accused persons despite the complaint, reminder, medical reports, affidavits of the villagers and as such sit is required to be constituted in order to advance justice to the petitioners.

Counsel for the State points out that challan has been filed in the FIR which was lodged by respondent-Joginder against the present petitioner, who is an accused in the FIR. In response, counsel for the petitioner has argued that no action has been taken regarding the allegations made by the petitioner, despite them allegedly suffering serious injuries and further submits that complete record along with material witnesses related to the incident is available with the investigate officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani to decide the representation dated 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) within a time bound manner.

Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani is directed to consider and

decide the representation dated 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-6), after being provided with an opportunity to be heard to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**15.02.2025**  
*anuradha*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*      *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*              *Yes/No*