



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3385-2025

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

RAHUL AND ANOTHER

. . . .PETITIONERS

Vs.

GRAM PANCHAYAT JALMANA THROUGH ITS SARPANCH

. . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.

In a suit seeking decree of permanent injunction filed by the plaintiffs (*petitioners herein*), prayer was also made for interim injunction. Trial Court by way of the impugned order dated 15.05.2025 (*Annexure P1*) declined the said relief.

2. Assailing the aforesaid order, learned counsel contends that justifiable reasons are required to be given by the Court to grant or reject the prayer as to stay. To support this contention, learned counsel refers to ***Birwati Chaudhary and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, (2018) 9 SCC 458.***

3. On query, it is conceded by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have not assailed the impugned order before the Appellate Court, which remedy is available to them under Order 43 CPC.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Appellate Court.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

28.05.2025

Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes
No