

Dr. Savita Rani ...Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Rattan, IAS, DGE, HaryanaRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Pankaj Attri, Advocate for
Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 31.08.2022, in CWP-19419-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-19419-2022, was disposed of on the statement of the learned Addl. AG, Haryana, that in case no order had been passed on the application dated 08.08.2022, filed by the petitioner, the same would be considered and decided within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 05.11.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in the application filed by her. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of order dated 05.11.2022, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 05.11.2022,

by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.11.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No