

C.O.C.P. No. 2611 of 2013

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No. 2611 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION:24.03.2017

Swadesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Surina Rajan and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rahul Daswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

An affidavit of State Project Director, Haryana School Shiksha Pariyojna Parishad, Panchkula has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that order dated 16.1.2013 has been complied with and payment has also been released to the petitioner under proper receipt, which is annexed as Annexure R-1 with the affidavit filed in Court today. Learned counsel further submits that review application No. 274 of 2015 has been filed in C.W.P. No. 17754 of 2011, wherein, notice has been issued for 31.3.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order dated 16.1.2013 has not been complied in true spirit as the payment, which has been made is less

Since order dated 16.1.2013 passed by this Court has been complied with, nothing remains in the contempt petition and the same is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the respondent-authorities explaining as to what relief has not been granted within a period of one week from today. In case such representation is filed, the competent authority is directed to consider and decide the same within a period of one month thereafter.

It is also made clear that any relief, for which the petitioner is entitled, would be subject to decision of review application.

In case the petitioner feels aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

March 24, 2017
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No