

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-104-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 17.05.2019

M/s Bansal Builders
...Applicant

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate,
Mr. G.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the applicant.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

On being confronted with the observation that since the remedy of resolution of dispute by referring the same to the Executive Engineer-in-Charge of the work at the time in the first instance has not been exhausted, this application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 is premature, learned counsel for the applicant sought leave of the Court to permit withdrawal of the application with liberty to exhaust the said remedy.

Prayer made is allowed.

Application accordingly stands dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

17.05.2019

Anodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No