



124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1836-2025

Date of decision: 26.03.2025

Gee Cee Steel Industries, Jaroda

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Shvetanshu Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. A perusal of the file would show that there is no proof to show that the complaint has been filed in accordance with the procedure and there is no receipt of the concerned office attached. Even the detailed procedure is not forthcoming.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present revision petition be disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same after placing on record all the necessary documents and also making specific reference to the procedure to be adopted.

3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty aforesaid.

26.03.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No