



**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision: 22.05.2025
CM-7777-CWP-2025
CM-7778-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25299-2023**

**SATBIR SINGH ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS**

**CM-7766-CWP-2025
CM-7767-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25357-2023**

**BALKAR ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS**

**CM-7796-CWP-2025
CM-7797-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25480-2023**

**KARAMVEER ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS**

**CM-7775-CWP-2025
CM-7776-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-25302-2023**

**BIMLA DEVI ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS .. RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K.Liberhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

**CM-7777-CWP-2025 in CWP-25299-2023
CM-7766-CWP-2025 in CWP-25357-2023
CM-7796-CWP-2025 in CWP-25480-2023
CM-7775-CWP-2025 in CWP-25302-2023**

1. Prayer in these applications is for condonation of delay of 42 days in filing the present application(s).
2. Notice in the applications.
3. Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent(s)-State and submits that he has no objection if the delay is condoned.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, the same are allowed and delay of 42 days in filing the present applications is condoned.

CM-7778-CWP-2025 in CWP-25299-2023
CM-7767-CWP-2025 in CWP-25357-2023
CM-7797-CWP-2025 in CWP-25480-2023
CM-7776-CWP-2025 in CWP-25302-2023

5. Prayer in these applications is for restoration of main petitions which were dismissed for non-prosecution vide order dated 11.02.2025.
6. For the reasons mentioned in the applications, the same are allowed and order dated 11.02.2025 is recalled. Main petitions are taken up on Board today itself.

CWP-25299-2023, CWP-25357-2023, CWP-25480-2023, CWP-25302-2023

7. In the present bunch of petitions, the challenge is to the award dated 27.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed against the workmen wherein claim was raised that the workmen are the employee of the Forest Department of Haryana and their services were terminated in violation of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has not been accepted on the ground that there was no master and servant relationship between the petitioners and the respondent-Department.

8. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent(s)-State submits that the similar issue qua the same department of Forest or identical facts were raised in a bunch of petitions including CWP-1046-2025 titled as 'Nishan Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others' which have been decided on 04.04.2025 and the similar plea has been rejected and the writ petitions have been dismissed.
9. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) has not been able to rebut the said contention.
10. Once, the similar issue has already been decided qua the same relief claimed of the same department on similar allegations, the present petitions are also dismissed in terms of Nishan Singh'case (supra).
11. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
12. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

22.05.2025
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No