



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

148

CRWP-11136-2025

Date of Decision: 15.10.2025

SATISH KUMAR AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Vikram K. Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, D.A.G., Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and to take action on their representation dated 13.10.2025 (Annexure P-6).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 13.10.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka and Station House Officer, Police Station Khui, District Fazilka (Annexure P-6) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondents No.2 and 3- Senior Superintendent of



Police, District Fazilka and Station House Officer, Police Station Khui, District Fazilka to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, learned D.A.G., Punjab has advance notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 13.10.2025 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

October 15, 2025

Rajeev Purohit/Nisha-I

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No