



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

276

CRM-M-28882-2025

Date of Decision : 16.09.2025

SANTOSH KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sangwan, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Meena, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Aora, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner, who have been arrayed as accused in case FIR No.84 dated 18.09.2023, registered against him at the instance of respondent No.2 – Preeti (complainant) for commission of offences punishable u/s 66 (C) and 66 (E) of Information and Technology Act, at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur, has filed the present petition for quashing of the aforesaid FIR along with all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the strength of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the intervention of respectables and elderly person of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise appended as Annexure P-2, which is duly signed by



them. Learned counsel further submits that the fake ID allegedly prepared by the petitioner has since been deleted and closed.

3. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned trial Court vide report dated 06.09.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No.2 is represented by her counsel and admits the factum of compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the trial Court and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.84 dated 18.09.2023, u/s 66 (C) and 66 (E) of Information and Technology Act, at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

16.09.2025
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No