



CRM-M-47884-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

S.No.102

CRM-M-47884-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 28.8.2025

Bunty alias Banty Gir

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Arjun Kapur, Advocate,
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

By way of this petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.111 dated 22.7.2025 registered under Sections 109, 333, 126(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala.

2. Present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Jaswinder Singh with the allegations that his brother Devi Lal's house is situated adjacent to his house and one dairy belonging to Gurdev Ram is situated opposite to their houses which is run by said Gurdev Ram and his two sons namely Bunty and Bhupinder Singh alias Bhindi



CRM-M-47884-2025 (O&M)

Ram. He alleged that these persons along with some more persons namely Sonu and Santy sons of Mohinder Ram, Mohinder Ram son of Karnail Singh, Arman Puri and Tarsem Puri usually sit at the dairy after finishing their work and consume alcohol and create nuisance. They were not paying heed to his request not to create nuisance and few days ago, he requested them not to drink and misbehave near his house, as a result of which, a verbal dual had taken place and they threatened him with dire consequences. It is further alleged that on 21.7.2025 at 8:00 p.m., he was sitting in his house with his family when the aforesaid persons along with 4-5 unknown persons armed with weapons forcibly entered his house. Bunty son of Gurdev Ram (petitioner) was carrying a pistol and he fired 5-6 shots with an intention to kill him but he managed to escape by running upstairs and jumped the wall towards the adjoining school premises. The remaining accused chased him and caused injuries to him with baseball bats, sticks and swords. When he raised an alarm, some neighbours including his brother Satinder Pal were attracted to the spot and he was rescued. While going away, they threatened to kill him. During investigation, some of the accused were arrested and the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and the version set up in the FIR is highly improbable as 5-6 shots which were allegedly fired at the complainant did not hit him. Learned counsel further contended that had he fired 5-6 shots, some bullets must have hit him and no empties have been found at the spot. He contended that a false version has been put forward. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be



CRM-M-47884-2025 (O&M)

imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant argued that the petitioner has committed a heinous offence and the victim was assaulted and he suffered 5 injuries over various parts of the body including the skull. The weapon of offence is yet to be recovered, for which, his custodial interrogation is essential and the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

5. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He committed trespass in the house of the complainant along with co-accused and fired 5-6 shots at the complainant but he luckily escaped after running towards the staircase. Weapon of the offence is yet to be recovered, for which, his custodial interrogation is essential. In case, the petitioner is interrogated under the protective umbrella of the order of ad-interim bail to be passed by this Court, he is not likely to answer the questions in right earnest. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, the present bail petition is dismissed.

6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

August 29, 2025

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No