



Daily Lok Adalat

Bench No.2.

502

FAO-4811-2002 (O&M)

KRISHAN KUMAR V/S SUSHIL KUMAR @ ANGREZ SINGH & ORS.

Present: Mr.Malkeet Singh Balianwali, Advocate for the appellant.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr.Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

Memo of Appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed today by Mr.Rhythem Bajaj, Advocate.

On the last date of hearing as none appeared for the parties, fresh notice was ordered to be issued to the appellant as well as respondent Nos.1 and 2 for today at the addresses given in the Memo of Parties.

As per report of Registry, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that address is incomplete. Notice issued to respondent No.1 has been received back unserved with the report that he has left the address and now not residing at the given address. Respondent No.2 has been duly served.

No other address of the appellant is available on the file of this case. Even learned counsel for the appellant is having no other address of the appellant.

In view of the same, the present appeal is ordered to be consigned to the record with liberty to the appellant to get it revived, if so desire.

(R.K.Nehru)
President

26.09.2025

P.Seth

(Ram Chand Gupta)
Member