



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

314

Date of decision: 29.04.2025

1.

CRM-M No. 6150 of 2025

DARA SINGH AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

2.

CRM-M No. 6153 of 2025

KRISHAN RAM AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for the petitioners
in CRM-M No. 6150 of 2025 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M No. 6153 of 2025.

Ms. Himani Arora, A.A.G, Punjab.

Mr. Charitr Kadyan, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4
in CRM-M No. 6150 of 2025 and
for the petitioners in CRM-M No. 6153 of 2025.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1 By way of filing the aforesaid petitions, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.72 dated 21.05.2024 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC as well as DDR No.47 dated 21.05.2024 registered under Sections 323, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 325 of IPC added later on) in the aforesaid FIR, at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa and all the

**CRM-M No. 6150 of 2025 and CRM-M No. 6153 of 2025** - 2-

subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court vide orders dated 14.01.2025 (in CRM-M-6153-2025) and 04.02.2025 (in CRM-M-6150-2025) and thereafter vide order dated 06.03.2025 (in both cases) had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sardulgarh and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 15.04.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for parties have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.72 dated 21.05.2024 registered under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC as well as DDR No.47 dated 21.05.2024

2025:PHHC:055360



CRM-M No. 6150 of 2025 and CRM-M No. 6153 of 2025 - 3-

registered under Sections 323, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 325 of IPC added later on) in the aforesaid FIR, at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioners.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connect case.

29.04.2025

Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No