



Daily Lok Adalat
503

Bench No.1

FAO-3713-2016

MADHU CHHANDA SAHA VS. CHANDAN BISHT AND ANOTHER

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Punit Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Nigam Bhardwaj, Advocate
for TATA AIG Gen. Ins. Co. Ltd.-respondent No.2.

Since none had been appearing on behalf of the appellant, notice was issued to him to appear in person before this Forum to explore the possibility of settlement. The report shows that the appellant has been duly served. However, despite service neither the appellant nor his counsel has put in appearance before this Forum.

Noticing the aforesaid act and conduct of the appellant, it seems that she is not interested in pursuing this case. Accordingly, this case is consigned to the record room with liberty to the appellant to revive the same by filing an appropriate application before the appropriate Forum if such necessity arises.

Copy of this order be sent to the appellant at the given address.

(J.C. VERMA)
PRESIDENT

02.04.2025
Atul

(ARVIND KUMAR)
MEMBER