



**122+123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1) CWP-25993-2025
Date of decision: 03.09.2025

Rattan Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam ...Respondent

2) CWP-25996-2025

Raghubir SinghPetitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Navin Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This common order shall dispose of the aforementioned civil writ petitions as they arise from a similar factual matrix. However, for the sake of brevity, the facts are taken from CWP-25993-2025.

2. The present civil writ petition(s) has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondent to count the daily wagger service as a qualifying service for the daily wages period from 04.01.1979 to 16.07.1987 and work charge period from 17.07.1987 to 23.10.1990 along with all consequential benefits as well as interest @ 8% per annum.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that he would be



CWP-25993-2025

CWP-25996-2025

-2-

satisfied if the time bound direction be given to the respondent-Corporation to decide the representation(s) (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner(s) for granting the benefit of the past service rendered as daily wager from 04.01.1979 to 16.07.1987 and work charge service from 17.07.1987 to 23.10.1990 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that claim of the petitioner(s) suffers from delay and laches. However, the respondent-Corporation will consider the claim of the petitioner(s) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order.

5. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s), the respondent is directed to consider the representation(s) (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner(s) and pass a speaking order, after affording them an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner(s). Needless to say, if the petitioner(s) is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondent. However, the respondent-Corporation would be at liberty to consider the issue of limitation while passing a speaking order.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

7. A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.09.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No