

2025:PHHC:093371



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

322

**CRM-M No.33875 of 2025  
Date of Decision: 25.07.2025**

Pargat Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab,  
for the respondent-State.

\*\*\*

**MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant one is the second petition filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS") seeking grant of interim regular bail for the purpose of his treatment. He is facing trial for commission of offences punishable under Sections 15 and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act') in case arising out of FIR No.239 dated 25.10.2020 registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar. His first petition bearing CRM-M-55449 of 2024 for grant of regular bail as filed by him had been dismissed vide order dated 12.05.2025.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he is

2025:PHHC:093371



suffering from several physical ailments and is under treatment. He remained admitted in Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar w.e.f. 25.04.2025 to 30.04.2025. His condition is worsening as no proper treatment is provided to him. It is, therefore, urged that he may be extended benefit of interim regular bail for the purpose of taking proper medical treatment.

3. The respondent-State has filed reply along with the report given by Medical Officer, Central Jail, Kapurthala wherein the petitioner is lodged submitting that the petitioner has been diagnosed with hypertension, abdominal distension and pedal edema with history of seizure. He had been admitted in hospital on 25.03.2025 with complaints of abdominal pain. He is also known case of diabetes mellitus. He is being referred to the Government Hospital, Amritsar for follow up and his condition is stable. It is also reported that his vitals are stable.

4. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender as he is involved in two more case of similar nature as of this case. There are chances of his absconding. It is, therefore, urged that he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

5. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

6. No doubt, from the report sent by the jail doctor as well as from the documents placed on record, it is revealed that the petitioner is suffering from certain ailments but it has also come on record that he has been provided treatment and his condition is stable. In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that no case for grant of interim regular bail in favour

2025:PHHC:093371



of the petitioner is made out. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

7. It is, however, clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MANISHA BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**25.07.2025**  
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No