

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:172822



203 CRM-M-55679-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.05.2025.

Kamal Kishore Taneja ...Petitioner.
Versus
State of Punjab and another ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh Salooja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Arvind Meena, IPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, North, Amritsar filed on behalf of respondent-State, which is taken on record. It has been mentioned in the status report that the statement of complainant Sunil Vohra was recorded by ASI Kuldip Singh, wherein he admitted that he had received the full and final payment from the present petitioner Kamal Kishore Taneja and he has no grievance against the petitioner and is ready to get the statement recorded with regard to the said compromise.

Keeping it in view, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh quashing petition on the basis of compromise.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

**(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE**

07.05.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No