



CWP-8377-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

239

CWP-8377-2024

Date of decision : 13.01.2025

M/s Vasu and Sons and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Akaant Kumar Mittal, Advocate for the respondent/IOI
(through video conferencing).

Mr. Deepak Suri, Advocate and
Mr. Brij Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.3 & 4/RBI.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

A Coordinate Bench of this Court while issuing notice of motion to the respondents had passed the following order on 18.04.2024:-

“Learned counsel for petitioners submits that there is only one complaint which has been filed thus, rejection thereof being not maintainable on the ground of another pending complaint is absolutely unjustified.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Akaant Kumar Mittal, Advocate appears through Video Conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2.

Notice be issued to respondents No. 3 and 4, for 12.08.2024.”



2. Learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 submits that the complaint preferred by the petitioners before the Ombudsman would be re-considered and a speaking order would be passed afresh.

3. Heard.

4. In view of the statement of learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4, we are of the considered view that the grievance of the petitioners has been substantially redressed at this stage and the petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to the Ombudsman to consider and decide the complaint of the petitioners afresh by passing a speaking order expeditiously after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

January 13, 2025

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No