



CRM-M-34884-2025 (O&M)

1

212 (2nd case)**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34884-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 22, 2025

Mandeep Dalal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**Present:** Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Khandelwal, Advocate,
Mr. Karnesh Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.115 dated 03.06.2025, under Sections 191(2), 190, 115(2), 61(2), 351(2), 329(3), 49, 117(2) and 189(6) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sector-6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.

2. On 07.07.2025 the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.115 dated 03.06.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 115(2), 61(2), 351(2), 329(3), 49, 117(2) and 189(6) of BNS at Police Station Sector-6, Dharuhera, District Rewari; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question; no specific role is attributed to the petitioner; the petitioner is on same footing as co-accused Malkhan @ Malkhan Singh, who has been extended the concession of ad-interim anticipatory bail vide



order dated 25.06.2025 passed in CRM-M-33267-2025 (Annexure P-2) & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

At this stage, Mr. Deepak Khandelwal, Advocate appears and files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant. The same is taken on record.

Adjourned to 22.07.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 10.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. The conditions imposed in the order dated 25.06.2025 (ibid) shall be applicable to the petitioner as well. To be heard along with CRM-M-33267-2025.”

3. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit dated 21.07.2025 of Dr. Ravinder, H.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police (HQ), Rewari, District Rewari, and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 07.07.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.
4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail stating that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and in case, he is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that he may flee from the process of justice as also interfere with the prosecution evidence. Learned counsel for the complainant has further iterated that the petitioner is a habitual offender.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and State not requiring the custodial interrogation, the interim order dated 07.07.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2)



of BNSS, 2023. However, the petitioner shall remain bound by the condition imposed upon him and, that, henceforth he will not make any attempt to enter into the complainant's company and/ or interfere in its day to day business.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 22, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No